Starting shortly

vait! ActivityInfo

How the FCRM contributes to strengthening accountability



Presented by the ActivityInfo Team

Software for Monitoring & Evaluation

- ★ Track activities, outcomes
- ★ Beneficiary management
- ★ Surveys
- ★ Work offline/online





Today's session outline

- Feedback, Complaint and Response Mechanism
 - What is Feedback, Complaint and Response Mechanism?
 - Why is Feedback, Complaint and Response Mechanism important?
- Step-by-step approach
 - How can we design appropriate Feedback, Complaint and Response Mechanisms?
- Evidence-based approach
 - How can we use ActivityInfo to enable real-time use of information?
- QandAs



Incentive for this webinar

- ★ Consultation, participation and feedback **continue to be strongly linked to aid recipients' perceptions** of the relevance, dignity and effectiveness of aid.
- ★ While agencies continued to gradually increase their use of feedback mechanisms, these are not seen as being used effectively to influence decision-making. Both the 2015 and 2018 editions of the SOHS found that 'while there are a number of initiatives and approaches that show potential, they have not yet delivered greater accountability or participation'.
- ★ COVID-19 provided a challenging context for communication and feedback with affected populations due to the shift to remote formats. Some agencies used the pandemic to strengthen ties with communities by enlisting community members as proxies for face-to-face messaging.



What?

Feedback, complaints and response mechanism, known also as feedback and complaints mechanism or feedback mechanism

The mechanism which enables organization to request and respond to people's needs





Why?



Why?



 The existence of appropriate communication channels balances the power dynamics by enabling local input to adjust operations and programs



Why?



- Information which is critical for safe programming, such as protection and safeguarding concerns
 Enables information sharing which is critical to iden
- Enables information sharing which is critical to identify and address potential risks
- Enables reporting of allegation of abuse, corruption and exploitation



Step-by-Step approach to Feedback, complaint and response mechanism

Categories

01	Request information	Question about activities and services or the organization	Out-of-scope: ● Record and acknowledge	
02	Request services	Request to receive service	 the request. Refer it to other actors if feasible. Notify that the request falls outside of the project's scope if no referral is 	
03	Suggestion and appreciation	 Feedback on the service quality Request to change how service is provided Appreciation of current activities 	possible	
04	Out-of-scope	Request for support not provided by the project	Misconduct or safeguarding: • Escalate to the EthicsPoint / country representative (or designate) or staff safeguarding focal point	
			immediately (within 24	
			 immediately (within 24 hours). Ensure confidentiality by 	





Step 1: Design







Example: Scope of FCRM

- Country program FCRMs: Shared by projects and responses within the Country program and exist beyond the project cycle.
- Project FCRMs: Used by individual projects and tied to project timelines.
- Partner-led FCRMs: May build on existing partner FCRMs or be created from scratch with the intention that partner organizations will sustain them beyond the life of a project.
- Joint FCRMs: Can be set up with consortia members and peer organizations to share roles and responsibilities for feedback and complaints collection, analysis, response, and agency-specific or collective action.



Example: Scope of FCRM

Key aspects to consider for the decision:

- Do we have available staff to implement the country program FCRM?
- Is the country program accessible similarly to the project FCRM?
- Can the project FCRM support the efficient escalation of sensitive feedback?
- Is there a well integrated data management system across projects to determine wider trends?
- Have the implementing partners relevant policies in place?
- Is the consortium in the maturity level to determine roles and responsibilities



Example of channels

- Helpline
- Suggestion boxes
- Community meetings
- Helpdesk (drop in visits)
- Complaints forms
- Letters or emails

Recommendations:

- FCRMs should include multiple response channels to respond to a range of feedback and complaints.
- FCRMs should incorporate an individual response channel to respond to both programmatic and sensitive complaints.
- FCRMs should incorporate a community response channel to respond to programmatic feedback and complaints These are usually community meetings, radio announcements and signboards.



Step 2: Start-Up



The importance of enabling environment

01	Culture of feedback and responsiveness of staff	·	Senior leadership to lead by example and enable two-way communication
02	Use of evidence	:	Review of FCRM data Request of information that can be used to improve operation and programs
03	Information sharing	·	Senior leadership to share relevant feedback with all stakeholders
04	Increase capacity and resources	:	Include implementing partners Enable resource allocation to FCRM
05	Mainstream at institutional level	•	Internal processes (i.e. recruitment) reflect commitment to protection, safeguarding and accountability



Step 3: Implement

Step 3 Implement





Step 4: Close out

Step 4 Close-out	01	Communicate close-out plan	•	Ensure sustainability Communicate close-out plan with communities
	02	Archive data and document learning	•	Apply data protection principles Communicate evaluation results for future programming



Our example

Our programming team has determined the scope of FCRM and has selected the FCRM channels. The program coordinator uses to use an project -based FCRM while involving implementing partners.

Now we are in the start-up phase and we are discussing how we can implement the FCRM in a way that enables data protection and management best practices and facilitates the timely use of information for program adaptation.

Our MEAL colleagues explore various tools and they have decided to test ActivityInfo for non sensitive feedback.





How can we operationalize data collection within ActivityInfo?



How can we operationalize data use within ActivityInfo?

The use of dashboards



FCRM quantitative analysis to identify larger trends \star and how those change by month or by guarter \star Summary of key points in feedback received \star Analysis of FCRM data by each channel, sex, age and other key characteristics, FCRM category and geographic area \star Analysis of satisfaction levels with the FCRM \star Monitoring of the response rate to feedback and complaints Identification of any errors in the completion of the \star FCRM registry



Key Messages

- \star It is crucial to conduct context analysis prior to mechanism design
- ★ Standard Operating Procedures support the clarification of roles and responsibilities within the system
- \star Training of relevant staff plays a crucial role in receiving feedback of high quality
- ★ Use of ICT4D technology facilitates data collection and data use



Measurement methods

Resources

CRS Guidance https://www.crs.org/sites/default/files/tools-research/crs_fcrm_guide.pdf State of humanitarian system https://sohs.alnap.org/help-library/2022-the-state-of-the-humanitarian-system-sohs-%E2%80%93-summary Action Against Hunger Guidance https://knowledgeagainsthunger.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/A-Step-by-Step-Guide-to-Develop-Feedback-and-Complaint-Mec

hanisms-to-Communities.pdf



Time for Q&A!

