Starting shortly

> Please wait!

# ActivityInfo

Quality and use of evidence For M&E professionals and Program Managers



### Presented by the ActivityInfo Team

## Software for Monitoring & Evaluation

- Track activities, outcomes
- Beneficiary management
- Surveys
- Work offline / online





### Evidence based decision making



#### Incentive for this webinar series:

- The fundamental key is to raise awareness upon evidence and the quality of evidence and be conscious in which case humanitarian and development actors are ready to accept lower quality and how this may affect the use of evidence.
- There exist significant improvements towards evidence based decision making, but there is room for improvement.



### **Presentation outline**

#### Overview

- What is evidence?
- Why does evidence matter?
- Why does the quality of evidence matter and how to determine the quality?
- Why can producing high quality evidence be challenging?
- Is evidence being used guide decision making?
- How can quality and use of evidence be improved?
- Time for QandA



### What is Evidence

### What is evidence?

Knowledge

A belief that is grounded in some form of 'fact'

Raw, unorganized facts

Information

Data

Data that has been processed to show patterns and give meaning

### Evidence

ctivityInfo

Information that relates to a specific proposition, and which can be used to support or challenge that proposition

### What is evidence?

#### Example of evidence:

- Evidence based on information from Quantitative data (primary or secondary)
- Evidence based on information from Qualitative data (primary or secondary)

#### Arising from:

- assessments
- monitoring systems
- evaluation

#### Ci ActivityInfo

Case study:Addressing intimate partner violence, food security and nutrition in Ecuador

#### Context

In Ecuador, violence and discrimination against women remains high across socio-economic groups. The lifetime prevalence of IPV is estimated at 35% for physical violence, 14.5% for sexual violence and 43.4% for psychological violence.

#### Intervention

In 2011, WFP expanded its assistance to the Ecuador government to support the integration of Colombian refugees and address the food security and nutrition needs of refugees and poor Ecuadorians. The programme consisted of six monthly transfers of cash, vouchers or food to Colombian refugees and poor Ecuadorian households. **The evaluation found that** transfers <u>reduced controlling behaviour</u> amongst men and physical or sexual violence. The findings suggested that <u>reductions in IPV are due to</u> a combination of improvement in women's bargaining power and a decrease in poverty-related conflict. Food vouchers were shown to be a <u>cost-effective modality</u> to deliver food assistance and improve dietary diversity. *Findings informed the design of Food and Nutrition Security in Ecuador and WFP's country strategy plan.* 

International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie), 2019. Addressing intimate partner violence, food security and nutrition in Ecuador (online summary), Evidence Impact Summaries. New Delhi:3ie.

### What is evidence?

#### Key messages

- The meaning of evidence has been challenged during the 20th century.
  - acknowledgment that exists complex pathways through which research, knowledge or evidence may (or may not) be taken up by policymakers and practitioners
  - challenge the "linear" cause effect model
  - challenge the idea of strict objectivity: absolute truth separate from the observer
  - emphasis on people's experiences and perceptions
- Evidence is information that relates to a specific proposition, and which can be used to support or challenge that proposition

The **nature** of humanitarian and development sector action means that we are often dealing with **subjective 'realities**', and as a result should be open to considering **many different types of information as evidence.** 

The key to approach with "objectivity" the "subjective realities" is to become participatory and challenge our biases



### Why evidence matters?

### Why evidence matters?

#### Three key elements



Evidence is **essential in promoting** the critical reflection required to challenge the established narratives, biases and preconceptions and in turn to enable learning.

Learning can be seen as the "vehicle" that enables effectiveness, accountability and ethics to be exercised at full scale.

### **Key Messages**

#### Why evidence matters?

- The failure to generate and use evidence in policy and response makes humanitarian action less effective, less ethical and less accountable.
- To ensure the quality of the response for instance, gathering and using **timely** and **credible** evidence can enable responses to ensure effective coverage, meet priority needs and engage with existing capacities. In the longer term, evidence can inform learning for future programming and policy changes.
- Evidence of performance and results can feed into efforts to strengthen **accountability** to donors, partners and the target population.



#### Why does the quality matter?

The quality of evidence reflects the extent to which information that relates to a specific proposition can be trusted, and thus used to support or challenge that proposition

Differences in quality of evidence are not dependent on the nature of evidence (quantitative or qualitative)

Different research designs and methods are more or less appropriate for different contexts

Challenging to identify criteria for the quality of variety types of information!!!



#### **Quality Criteria**

information can only be accepted as evidence *if the methods used to gather and analyze it*, and any *limitations in the collection of evidence*, are made *explicit*. (...) Whether it is early-warning, assessment, programme choice, implementation, monitoring, or evaluation, needs to be based on robust evidence rather than merely reflecting the prevailing narratives, biases or preconceptions

Knox-Clarke, P. and Darcy, J. (2014) Insufficient evidence? The quality and use of evidence in humanitarian action. ALNAP Study. London: ALNAP/ Overseas Development Institute. http://www.alnap.org/resource/10441

Quality of evidence

Quality of analysis

information counts as evidence only IF both the data, and the methods used to analyze this data, can be measured against specific quality criteria!



**Quality Criteria** 

Different sets of criteria exist to judge the quality of evidence

Focus on the the six criteria which reflect the general consensus of the humanitarian practitioners and academics at the 28th ALNAP Annual <u>Meeting</u>

Overview of the meeting, including all presentations and panel material and videos are available on the ALNAP website: <a href="https://www.alnap.org/events/28th">www.alnap.org/events/28th</a>



**Quality Criteria** 





**Quality Criteria** 

Representativeness

- Accurate illustration of the conditions of larger group of interest
- Example: information that may represent the view of a village but does not represent of the villages of the area of interest



**Quality Criteria** 





**Quality Criteria** 



Generalisability of conclusions

- Can we generalize the information beyond a specific situation? ( external validity)
- Concern for policy making
- Implications for global indicators and thresholds?



**Quality Criteria** 



- Clear association between cause
   and effect
- Core criterion for evaluations



#### **Quality Criteria**



- Is it clear how, about whom and why evidence was collected?
- Without context information, it is impossible to determine whether evidence is relevant or can be generalized
- This is the basis to determine quality of evidence



#### Key messages

- The quality of evidence reflects the extent to which information that relates to a specific proposition can be trusted, and thus used to support or challenge that proposition
- Before saying that information counts as evidence, both the data, and the methods used to analyze this data, should be measured against specific quality criteria.
- Six quality criteria presented based on ALNAP

The threshold for use of evidence will depend on circumstances: in some circumstances we should be prepared to accept lower quality evidence, but we need to be aware of the reason why and their implications!



#### Threats to quality

()

standard approaches and definitions

- Absence of standard approaches and definitions across countries and organizations can hinder accuracy
- Example: attempts to approach a global monitoring as a way to provide global perspective



#### Threats to quality



Choice of measurement methods

- Choice of methods can challenge representatives and accuracy
- Lack of use of academically recognized
   qualitative methods
- Example: qualitative data cannot be generalized, given sampling and quantitative data cannot describe efficiently concepts such as feeling of target population



#### Threats to quality

()

#### Availability of information

- Limited secondary data due to context
- Out of date information due the quickly evolving environment
- Limited possibility for data collection due to movement restrictions
- Limited time for data collection, especially in emergency contexts



#### Threats to quality





Threats to quality



- complex and identification of the chain between deliverable and impact, lack of capacity; an aversion to publicizing failure; as well as technical difficulties and ethics component in establishing baselines and control groups
- High costs



#### Threats to quality



- Financial trade off between data collection allocation and intervention budget allocation
- Use of technology: credibility of sources? access to technology? accuracy of information?



#### Key messages

- 'What works' is always a reflection of the context in which policies are constructed, their content and methods, and the needs, motives and perceptions of the people claiming the attribution. **Context matters!!**
- Challenges may refer to: choice of measurement methods, standard approaches and definitions, availability of information, existing power dynamics
- Our choice of questions, sources and methods not only reflect the system that we have, it also creates the system of the future.



Quality of evidence DOES NOT guarantee use of evidence

'Only a minority of evaluations are effective at introducing evident changes or improvements in performance' (Sandison, P. (2006) 'The utilisation of evaluations' in ALNAP Review of humanitarian action 2005. London: ALNAP/ODI. www.alnap.org/resource/5225.aspx).



#### Constraints

<ul> <li>Monitoring and evaluation activities are frequently conducted by the same Organizations which will use the results resulting in donor skepticisms</li> <li>The role of decision-maker and context</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>course of action desirable to the decision maker</li> <li>predetermined course of action</li> </ul>



#### Constraints





Time: Case Studies on the effects on using ActivityInfo on addressing the time constraint

#### The use of ActivityInfo for the Rapid Response Mechanism in CAR by the REACH Initiative

One of the immediate results of using ActivityInfo was that access to crucial, centralized information, such as numbers, became quick and easy for all partners. This ensured transparency and satisfying levels of communication within the Clusters. Mrs Anne Leschallier de Lisle, Information Management Officer and GIS Officer in the RRM programme of the REACH Initiative in the Central African Republic, ponts out:

"We do updates on a weekly basis. Without ActivityInfo, the same updates would only be done monthly." <u>https://www.activityinfo.org/about/casestudies/reach.html</u>

#### Disaster Risk Management and the COVID-19 Response in Afghanistan by Aga Khan Agency for Habitat Afghanistan

The decision to use ActivityInfo came as a result of a very thorough reflection on how to create a solution to optimize and meet the expectations that the agency had for data management. Before ActivityInfo, the data collection processes were mostly paper-based and collecting data would take too much time. People would need to go to the field, collect data, come back, enter the data, clean and process it.

"The shift from paper-based data collection to using ActivityInfo was characterized as a paradigm shift within the agency" Mr. Hojibekov, Chief Executive Officer in AKAHA.

https://www.activityinfo.org/about/casestudies/agakhanagencyforhabitat.html

#### Constraints



• Communication between M&E practitioners and program managers: Does information collected correspond to the needs?



#### Constraints

	Unconscious bias:				
		0	Working under time pressure, decision-makers will tend to resort to		
			'short-cuts' - simple assumptions and generalizations, which make it		
			easier make sense of information		
		0	social knowledge: How can we challenge a social accepted notion?		
		0	The " devil you know" : How our past experiences affect us?		



Examples of evidence use: Cash-based programs

Increase of Cash-based programs: Which was the role of evidence?

Before 2000	2000	2005 - 2007	2009
Scattered experiences from cash-based programming. Absence of coherent evidence	experiences were reviewed methodically in a single document: Buying Power: the use of cash transfers in emergencies (Peppiatt et al., 2000)	Work from the Humanitarian Policy Group (HPG) at ODI, which published a series of papers considering the utility of cash in emergency contexts.	ALNAP's assessment was that 'research and evaluation played an important role' in the acceptance of cash programming (Ramalingam et al., 2009a: 63).

Peppiatt et al. (2000) Buying Power: The Use of Cash Transfers in Emergencies. London: The British Red Cross. <u>www.alnap.org/resource/10019.aspx</u> Ramalingam et al. (2009a) 'Innovations in international humanitarian action' in ALNAP Review of humanitarian action in 2009. London: ALNAP/ODI. <u>www.alnap.org/resource/5664.aspx</u>

Examples of evidence use: Consideration of cultural norms

#### Crisis in Rwanda in 1994: Evidence was not actively used for more than 10 years

Before 1996	1997 - 2006	2006	2012
very limited understanding of the structure of Rwandese society and very little account had been taken of the views of beneficiariesleading in series of mistakes that decreased the effectiveness, efficiency and relevance of the response	Findings were echoed in academic research that pointed to the importance of understanding the local context	The report of the Tsunami Evaluation Coalition still reported displacement of able local staff by poorly prepared internationals; applying more demanding conditions to local 'partners' than those accepted by international organisations; and poor-quality beneficiary participation'	local NGOs and civil society organisations are often marginalised in relief operations ; and international staff turnover remains high, preventing decision-makers from obtaining any in-depth knowledge of the () context in which they are working (Bhattacharjee and Lossio, 2011;).
Borton et al. (1996a) 'The International Response to Conflict and Genocide: Lessonsfrom the Rwanda Experience Study 3: Humanitarian Aid and Effects'. London: ODI.		Telford, J. and J. Cosgrave (2006) 'Joint evaluation of the international response to he Indian Ocean tsunami: Synthesis report'. ALNAP and TEC/ODI.	Bhattacharjee, A. and Lossio, R. (2011) 'Evaluation of OCHA Response to the Haiti Earthquake Final Report'. New York: UN OCHA.

Key messages

- Mitigation of threats to quality does not guarantee the use of evidence.
- For evidence to influence decision-making, the information has to be accessible when the decision is being made!!!



# How can quality and evidence can be improved?

### How can quality and use of evidence can be improved?

#### Five guiding principles

- Using more robust methodologies for analysis and collection: using tried and tested approaches from the social sciences for qualitative work, and continuing to explore the possibilities for quantitative and mixed methods approaches.
- Proportionate investment: ensuring that investments in evidence match the importance of the questions addressed.
- Increased collaboration: key stakeholders should work together to identify key questions; decreasing unnecessary duplication and sharing and challenging results. This applies both internally in an organization and externally
- Thinking of the longer term: collecting consistent data and tracing trajectories sets over time.
- Including the knowledge of people affected: particularly by answering the questions that they need answered.
   ActivityInfo

### Time for QandA!

